

DAR Opinion No. 16, s. 2007
March 21, 2007

**AWARDED LANDS; TRANSFERABILITY OF LANDS WITHIN
RESETTLEMENT AREAS COVERED WITH CLOAs**

- It is evident that awardees/allocates of lands in the resettlement areas under the administration of the DAR are issued CLOAs which contain all the conditions and restrictions provided therein. Henceforth, in the disposition of said lands by the allocates, the procedures and requirements provided under DAR A.O. No. 8, Series of 1995. [Rules and Procedures Governing the Transferability of Lands Awarded to Agrarian Reform Beneficiaries (ARBs) Pursuant to Presidential Decree No. 27 as amended by Executive Order No. 228 and Republic Act No. 6657], shall be observed.

DAR Opinion No. 19, s. 2007
May 02, 2007

**BENEFICIARIES; BLOOD RELATION IS NOT THE SOLE CRITERION TO
SUCCEED AS TRANSFEREE-ALLOCATTEE IN CASE OF DEATH OF
FARMER BENEFICIARY**

- Blood relation may not be considered as the sole criteria to become a transferee-allocatee in case of death of a farmer-beneficiary. As primary compulsory heirs of the farmer-beneficiary, the children/descendants have precedence over and shall exclude other compulsory heirs. However, in their default, the widow or widower, who is not a blood relative of a deceased farmer-beneficiary, is under the law qualified to assume as sole owner cultivator.

DAR Opinion No. 31, s. 2007
November 12, 2007

**BENEFICIARIES; IDENTIFICATION, SCREENING, AND SELECTION;
HUSBAND AND WIFE MAY BECOME ARB INDEPENDENT TO THEIR
SPOUSE**

- Husband and wife may become Agrarian Reform Beneficiaries jointly or in their own right, independent to their spouse' right during and even prior to their marriage. For as long as his/her right as a farmer-beneficiary have vested and been established separately from his/her spouse, he/she may be entitled to receive land under the Comprehensive Agrarian Reform Program (CARP).

DAR Opinion No. 30, s. 2007
November 08, 2007

BENEFICIARIES; VESTED RIGHT; DEFINED

- The term "*vested right*" has been defined in the case of Balboa vs. Farrales, G.R. No. 27059, February 14, 1928 as some right or interest in property which has become fixed and established and is no longer open to doubt or controversy. The Supreme Court explained that rights are vested when right to enjoyment, present